

Impact of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning on the Students of the Present Era

Dr. P. Ramesh¹, Dr. K. Tirumalamba², Dr. R. Trisanjya³, K.S.S. Pranathi Sreee⁴

¹Asst. Professor Dept. of History, University College of Arts and Social Sciences, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

²Asst professor, IASE, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, A.P., India.

³Associate Professor, Department of Physics, Vignan's Institute of Information Technology, Visakhapatnam, A.P., India.

⁴III B. Tech., C.S.E., Siddhartha Academy of Higher Education, (Deemed to be University), Vijayawada, A.P., India.

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) are increasingly transforming the educational environment by changing how students access information, communicate, learn, complete academic tasks, and develop future-oriented skills. The rapid emergence of generative AI tools has accelerated this transformation, making intelligent tutoring, automated feedback, personalized learning, content generation, language assistance, coding support, and adaptive learning increasingly accessible to students. This paper examines the impact of AI and ML on students in the present era through a descriptive-analytical review of secondary data obtained from scholarly literature, international reports, and institutional publications.

The study focuses on four dimensions: learning and academic support, development of student competencies, risks and challenges, and implications for educational institutions. The evidence indicates that AI can provide personalized assistance, facilitate access to learning resources, support feedback and engagement, and create opportunities for students to develop AI-related competencies. At the same time, excessive dependence on AI may create concerns regarding academic integrity, inaccurate information, privacy, bias, reduced cognitive engagement, and unequal access. Recent OECD evidence emphasizes that AI-assisted task performance does not automatically translate into genuine learning and that pedagogical guidance is critical. The paper concludes that the educational value of AI and ML depends less on technological availability alone and more on responsible use, AI literacy, teacher guidance, institutional policies, and learner-centered pedagogical design.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Students, Generative AI, AI Literacy

INTRODUCTION

The contemporary education system is undergoing a significant technological transformation. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML), once primarily associated with computer science and industrial applications, have increasingly entered classrooms, universities, online learning platforms, assessment systems, and students' everyday learning practices. The development of generative AI has accelerated this transformation because students can now interact with AI systems through natural language rather than requiring advanced technical knowledge. The emergence of ChatGPT and comparable systems since late 2022 has particularly intensified discussions about the future of teaching, learning, assessment, academic integrity, and student competencies. UNESCO identifies generative AI as a technology with considerable educational potential but simultaneously emphasizes the need for human-centred, ethical, safe, equitable, and meaningful use.

AI and ML can influence students in several ways. Intelligent tutoring systems can provide individualized explanations, adaptive systems can modify learning activities, automated systems can provide feedback, and conversational AI can assist students in brainstorming, summarizing, translating, coding, and exploring academic concepts. A systematic review of empirical research has found that AI is influencing instructional practices, assessment strategies, and other dimensions of educational practice. AI therefore has the potential to move learning beyond a uniform instructional model toward more personalized and interactive learning environments.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1 Artificial Intelligence and Transformation of Education

Kasneci et al., (2023) examined the opportunities and challenges associated with large language models in education. Their analysis identified possibilities for personalized learning, student engagement, educational content generation, and human-AI interaction. At the same time, the authors highlighted limitations related to bias, reliability, critical thinking, fact-checking, and the need for appropriate competencies among students and teachers.

Lo, (2023) conducted a rapid review of literature on ChatGPT and education using 50 articles. The study identified applications including instructor assistance, virtual tutoring, answering questions, and facilitating collaboration. However, it also identified concerns involving incorrect information and academic misuse.

Tlili et al., (2023) investigated ChatGPT as a case of AI-based educational transformation. Their qualitative case analysis identified enthusiasm surrounding the technology while also highlighting concerns related to response quality, usefulness, ethics, and educational transformation.

Almasri, (2024) reviewed empirical research on AI in science teaching and learning and found that AI is affecting instructional practices and learning processes. The review indicates that AI-based educational applications can support emerging forms of interaction and learning when integrated appropriately into instructional environments.

Liu & Zhong, (2024) reviewed approaches to teaching AI in K–12 education and emphasized the importance of developing AI literacy at an early stage. Their review indicates a strong emphasis on experiential learning and pedagogical development.

Alshater et al., (2023) and related research emphasize concerns regarding misinformation, bias, privacy, academic integrity, and inappropriate dependence on AI. Reviews of ChatGPT in education have identified plagiarism, deception, misuse, accountability, privacy, and possible reductions in genuine learning as important areas requiring attention.

Research Objectives

1. To identify major challenges associated with student use of AI and ML.
2. To examine the educational implications and strategies required for responsible and effective student use. The study adopts a descriptive-analytical research design based entirely on secondary data. The methodology is appropriate because the objective is to synthesize and interpret existing evidence concerning the impact of AI and ML on students rather than generate new survey or experimental data. Secondary information was obtained from peer-reviewed research articles, systematic reviews, international educational reports, policy documents, and publications of organizations including UNESCO and the OECD. Particular attention was given to literature published between 2019 and 2026, with emphasis on recent research concerning generative AI and student learning. The literature was examined thematically according to four dimensions: educational opportunities, student competency development, challenges and risks, and institutional implications.

The analytical techniques employed are descriptive review, thematic analysis, comparative interpretation, and evidence synthesis. Findings from different studies and institutional reports were compared to identify recurring patterns and areas of agreement or concern. The analysis does not attempt to establish causal relationships because the study is based on secondary evidence and includes heterogeneous research designs. The scope encompasses school and higher-education students, with particular attention to contemporary AI and generative AI applications. The study recognizes that AI adoption and educational infrastructure differ across countries and institutions. Consequently, findings are interpreted as broader evidence about emerging educational patterns rather than as direct measurements of all students. A principal limitation is the rapid evolution of AI technologies, meaning that findings may become outdated as tools and educational practices change. Another limitation is variation in research methods and educational contexts across the reviewed literature.

ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 AI and ML as Learning Support Technologies

The first major impact of AI and ML is the expansion of learning support available to students. AI-based systems can operate as conversational assistants, tutors, recommendation systems, writing assistants, coding assistants, and feedback mechanisms. Students can ask questions repeatedly, request alternative explanations, obtain examples, and explore concepts interactively. This represents an important change from traditional information retrieval. Instead of searching through multiple resources independently, students can interact with an AI system in a conversational manner. However, conversational convenience does not guarantee accuracy. Lo's review found that ChatGPT's performance varied across subject domains and that incorrect or fabricated information remained an important concern. Consequently, AI should be treated as a learning-support resource rather than an unquestioned authority.

5.2 Personalized and Adaptive Learning

AI and ML can facilitate personalized learning by analyzing learner responses, progress, preferences, and performance patterns. Such systems can potentially recommend appropriate resources or adjust the difficulty and sequence of learning activities. The literature suggests that personalization is one of the important opportunities associated with AI in education. Kasneci et al. identify personalized learning and improved interaction as important potential applications of large language models. Nevertheless, personalization should not be interpreted as complete automation of education. Teachers remain necessary for understanding student motivation, social context, emotional needs, ethical development, and complex learning difficulties.

5.3 Development of Student Skills

AI can affect not only academic performance but also the competencies students require for future study and employment. Students increasingly need AI literacy, digital literacy, information evaluation, prompt formulation, data interpretation, critical thinking, and ethical awareness. The OECD/European Commission framework emphasizes that AI literacy involves understanding AI systems, critically evaluating their outputs, and using them responsibly and creatively. Therefore, educational institutions face a dual responsibility: students must learn with AI, but they must also learn about AI.

5.4 Creativity and Problem-Solving

AI tools can support brainstorming, idea generation, coding, content development, translation, simulation, and exploratory learning. When students use AI to generate alternatives and then evaluate, modify, and improve those alternatives, AI can become a collaborative learning resource. However, there is a significant distinction between AI-assisted creation and AI-substituted creation. If students use AI to generate a complete assignment without evaluating or understanding it, the technology may reduce the cognitive effort associated with the task. The OECD's 2026 evidence specifically warns that successful task completion with general-purpose GenAI does not necessarily represent genuine learning.

5.5 Academic Integrity and Dependence

Academic integrity represents one of the most significant challenges associated with AI. Generative AI can produce essays, answers, computer code, summaries, and other academic outputs. Consequently, conventional assignment formats may no longer reliably measure individual student learning. UNESCO's survey of more than 450 schools and universities in 2023 found that fewer than 10% had developed formal institutional guidance concerning generative AI at that time. This illustrates the speed with which technological adoption can exceed institutional policy development. The appropriate response is not necessarily to treat all AI use as misconduct. Instead, institutions need clearly defined policies distinguishing acceptable assistance from inappropriate substitution of student work. Assessment practices may also need to emphasize oral explanation, project-based work, reflection, process documentation, and authentic problem-solving.

5.6 Accuracy, Bias and Critical Thinking

AI systems can generate convincing but inaccurate information. They can also reproduce biases present in training data or produce outputs that appear authoritative without providing adequate evidence. Kasneci et al. emphasize the need for critical thinking, fact-checking, human oversight, and awareness of limitations when using large language models in education. For students, this creates a new form of digital literacy: **verification literacy**. Students must learn to ask whether an answer is accurate, whether a source is credible, whether evidence supports a claim, and whether alternative interpretations exist.

5.7 Digital Inequality and Access

The educational benefits of AI cannot be separated from digital inequality. Students differ in access to devices, reliable internet, paid AI tools, technical support, and educational resources. UNESCO has emphasized concerns relating to the digital divide and equitable access. OECD's 2026 Digital Education Outlook similarly emphasizes equitable digital infrastructure, connectivity, devices, resources, and professional learning opportunities as conditions for meaningful and inclusive AI use.

Key Findings

1. AI and ML are becoming significant components of contemporary student learning, particularly through conversational AI, adaptive learning, intelligent tutoring, content generation, and automated assistance.
2. Personalization is a major potential benefit. AI can provide students with individualized explanations and learning resources, potentially extending learning beyond classroom hours.
3. AI literacy is becoming an essential student competency. Students need to understand how AI works, evaluate its outputs, recognize limitations, and use it ethically.
4. AI does not automatically produce genuine learning. Recent OECD evidence indicates that AI can improve task performance without necessarily improving underlying learning when students outsource cognitive work to general-purpose systems.
5. Academic integrity is a major institutional challenge. Generative AI requires educational institutions to reconsider assessment methods and develop clear policies.
6. AI creates both opportunities and risks for critical thinking. It can support exploration and discussion but may reduce independent cognitive effort when used as a substitute for thinking.
7. Equitable access and teacher guidance are essential. AI benefits are unlikely to be distributed equally without appropriate infrastructure, AI literacy, teacher preparation, and institutional support.

Implications

7.1 Theoretical Implications

The emergence of AI requires education research to move beyond the traditional technology-adoption perspective. The central issue is increasingly the relationship between technology, pedagogy, learner agency, and cognitive development. AI should therefore be examined not simply as a technological tool but as part of a broader learning ecosystem.

7.2 Practical Implications

Teachers should incorporate AI into activities where it adds educational value while maintaining student responsibility for reasoning and final outputs. Students should be trained to verify information, disclose appropriate AI use, protect personal data, and critically evaluate AI-generated material.

7.3 Institutional Implications

Educational institutions need clear AI-use policies, teacher training, student AI-literacy programmes, assessment redesign, privacy safeguards, and mechanisms for addressing academic misconduct. UNESCO recommends human-centred approaches involving ethical validation, privacy protection, appropriate regulation, and pedagogical design.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Develop AI literacy programmes for students covering AI fundamentals, responsible use, verification, bias, privacy, and ethics.
2. Redesign assessments so that students demonstrate reasoning, reflection, application, and personal understanding rather than relying exclusively on conventional written assignments.
3. Establish transparent institutional AI policies defining acceptable, restricted, and unacceptable uses of AI in academic work.
4. Train teachers continuously so they can integrate AI into pedagogy rather than merely introduce students to AI tools.
5. Promote verification-based learning, requiring students to check AI-generated claims against authoritative academic and institutional sources.
6. Protect student data and privacy by establishing clear rules regarding the information that may be entered into external AI platforms.
7. Address digital inequality by providing equitable access to devices, connectivity, approved educational AI tools, and training.

CONCLUSION

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning are reshaping the educational experiences of students in the present era. Their influence extends from personalized learning and academic assistance to creativity, research, communication, coding, assessment, and future employability. The evidence reviewed in this paper demonstrates that AI can provide meaningful educational opportunities, but its benefits are not automatic. The central issue is how AI is integrated into the learning process. Recent OECD evidence particularly demonstrates that students may perform tasks more effectively with general-purpose AI without necessarily achieving equivalent learning gains when cognitive work is outsourced. This distinction is fundamental. Education should not measure only the quality of an AI-assisted product; it must also preserve the student's capacity to understand reason, evaluate, create, and communicate independently.

The future therefore requires a balanced model of human intelligence supported by artificial intelligence. Teachers remain central to pedagogy, mentorship, ethical development, and contextual understanding. Students require AI literacy, critical thinking, verification skills, and responsible digital behaviour. Institutions need policies that protect academic integrity, privacy, equity, and learner agency. AI and ML should consequently be regarded neither as a replacement for education nor as a technology that should simply be rejected. Their educational contribution depends on purposeful pedagogical design, responsible implementation, equitable access, and continuous human oversight.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

This study is based exclusively on secondary literature and institutional reports; therefore, it does not provide original empirical measurements of students' attitudes, usage patterns, or learning outcomes. The rapid evolution of generative AI also means that findings concerning particular tools may change quickly. Future research should conduct longitudinal studies examining how sustained AI use affects learning, critical thinking, creativity, and academic independence. Comparative studies across school, undergraduate, postgraduate, rural, and urban contexts could provide additional evidence regarding digital inequality. Primary empirical research could also investigate students' AI literacy, patterns of responsible and inappropriate use, and the effectiveness of different AI-integrated pedagogical strategies.

REFERENCES

1. Almasri, F. (2024). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence in teaching and learning of science: A systematic review of empirical research. *Research in Science Education*, 54, 977–997.
2. Dimitriadou, E., & Lanitis, A. (2023). A critical evaluation, challenges, and future perspectives of using artificial intelligence and emerging technologies in smart classrooms. *Smart Learning Environments*, 10, 12.
3. Forsström, S., Njå, M., Munthe, E., Álvarez-Galván, J.-L., & Houldsworth, L. (2025). *The impact of digital technologies on students' learning: Results from a literature review*. OECD Education Working Papers, No. 335. OECD Publishing.
4. Kasneci, E., Seßler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., Gasser, U., Groh, G., Günemann, S., Hüllermeier, E., Krusche, S., Kutyniok, G., Michaeli, T., Nerdel, C., Pfeffer, J., Poquet, O., Sailer, M., Schmidt, A., Seidel, T., & Kasneci, G. (2023). ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 103, 102274.
5. Liu, X., & Zhong, B. (2024). A systematic review on how educators teach AI in K-12 education. *Educational Research Review*, 45, 100642.
6. Lo, C. K. (2023). What is the impact of ChatGPT on education? A rapid review of the literature. *Education Sciences*, 13(4), 410.
7. Miao, F., & Holmes, W. (2023). *Guidance for generative AI in education and research*. UNESCO.
8. OECD. (2025). *Trends shaping education 2025*. OECD Publishing.
9. OECD. (2026). *OECD Digital Education Outlook 2026: Exploring effective uses of generative AI in education*. OECD Publishing.
10. OECD/European Commission. (2026). *Empowering learners for the age of AI: An AI literacy framework for primary and secondary education*. OECD Publishing.
11. Tlili, A., Shehata, B., Adarkwah, M. A., Bozkurt, A., Hickey, D. T., Huang, R., & Agyemang, B. (2023). What if the devil is my guardian angel: ChatGPT as a case study of using chatbots in education. *Smart Learning Environments*, 10, 15.